

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

JACK C. JENNINGS,

CV 07-922-PK

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v.

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN  
DEVELOPMENT, et al.,

Defendants.

BROWN, Judge.

Magistrate Judge Paul Papak issued Findings and Recommendation (#5) on September 20, 2007, in which he recommended this Court dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint (#1) for failure to state a claim pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2). The matter is now before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b).

Because no objections to the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation were timely filed, this Court is relieved of its


obligation to review the record *de novo*. *Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist.*, 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1983). See also *Lorin Corp. v. Goto & Co.*, 700 F.2d 1202, 1206 (8<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1983). Having reviewed the legal principles *de novo*, the Court does not find any error.

**CONCLUSION**

The Court **ADOPTS** Magistrate Judge Papak's Findings and Recommendation (#5). Accordingly, the Court **DISMISSES** Plaintiff's Complaint (#1) for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this <sup>4<sup>th</sup></sup>~~2<sup>nd</sup>~~ day of October, 2007.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
ANNA J. BROWN  
United States District Judge